

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : G06F 17/60		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/32268
			(43) International Publication Date: 4 September 1997 (04.09.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US97/02848		(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) International Filing Date: 24 February 1997 (24.02.97)			
(30) Priority Data: 08/610,107 29 February 1996 (29.02.96) US		Published <i>With international search report.</i>	
(71) Applicant: ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORPORATION [US/US]; 5400 Legacy Drive, Mail Stop H3-3A-05, Plano, TX 75024 (US).			
(72) Inventors: LYNCH, Michael, F.; 4157 Wycliff Avenue, Dallas, TX 75219 (US). TURNER, Jonathan, A.; Apartment #2507, 5451 Independence Parkway, Plano, TX 75023 (US).			
(74) Agent: GRIEBENOW, L., Joy; Electronic Data Systems Corporation, 5400 Legacy Drive, Mail Stop H3-3A-05, Plano, TX 75024 (US).			
(54) Title: AUTOMATED SYSTEM FOR IDENTIFYING ALTERNATE LOW-COST TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS			
<pre> graph LR IN[INCOMING REQUEST] --> PG[PARAMETER GENERATING ENGINE 16] PG --> Inv[INVENTORY DATA STRUCTURE 14] PG --> BEP[BUSINESS ENTITY PROFILE 20] Inv --> PG BEP --> PG PG --> SA[SET OF LOW-PRICED ALTERNATIVES] SA --> CRS[CRS #1, CRS #2, CRS #3, ..., CRS #24] </pre>			
(57) Abstract			
<p>An automated system (10) is provided for identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements. The system (10) obtains inventory information, specifying the rates and/or availability of a plurality of travel arrangements, from one or more computer reservation systems. The inventory information is stored in a database (14) along with one or more portfolios of information (relating to a travel agency and each business entity customer of the travel agency) that can be used to discount the listed rates of the travel arrangements. In response to travel request information received from a customer, the system (10) automatically retrieves the inventory and/or discount information from the database (14) and determines a plurality of low-cost alternate travel arrangements that are available to the customer. Consequently, a travel agent using the system (10) does not have to spend time manually identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements.</p>			

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

**AUTOMATED SYSTEM FOR IDENTIFYING
ALTERNATE LOW-COST TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS**

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 This invention relates generally to the field of travel reservation services, and more particularly, to an automated system for identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements and method of operation.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In many cases, a customer using a travel agency is not absolutely committed to any specific travel plan, but instead may have some flexibility in traveling.

5 One of the services provided by a travel agent is determining whether the customer has any flexibility in traveling, and afterwards, identifying one or more alternate, low-cost travel arrangements that are available to the customer. For example, a customer who 10 states that he/she would like to fly from Houston to New York on Tuesday, October 8th and return on Friday, October 11th may actually be open to returning on Sunday, October 13th instead, if the cost of traveling would thereby be reduced.

15 Previously, this process of identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements could only be performed manually by the travel agent, and therefore was extremely inefficient. More specifically, a travel agent was required to access one or more computer reservation systems to obtain inventory information, such as 20 availability and/or rates, for a plurality of alternate travel arrangements. Then, the travel agent was required to consider any special provisions that would also reduce the price of traveling (e.g., staying over a Saturday 25 night). Since the travel agent could not serve other customers during the above-mentioned steps, the agent's productivity decreased.

Furthermore, even though a travel agent could become 30 more efficient in the process with experience, it could not be guaranteed that the process would always produce all of the alternate, low-cost travel arrangements. In other words, because so much of the prior process required input and/or calculation by a travel agent, the 35 accuracy of the process was extremely susceptible to human error.

5 Although a prior system for determining low-cost alternate travel arrangements (i.e., the "Bargain Finder" system) is available, this prior system operates on only one computer reservation system (i.e., the SABRE system
10 owned by American Airlines), which does not include information about all of the possible flights between a departure city and a destination city. Consequently, this prior system is not able to consistently find all of the low-cost alternate flights in response to a customer's request.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 Accordingly, a need has arisen for an automated system that identifies a plurality of alternate low-cost travel arrangements that may be offered or recommended to a customer submitting a travel request to a travel agency.

10 In accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention, an automated system is provided for identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements. The system obtains inventory information, specifying the rates and/or availability of a plurality of travel arrangements, from one or more computer reservation systems. The inventory information is stored in a database along with one or more portfolios of information 15 (relating to a travel agency and each business entity customer of the travel agency) that can be used to discount the listed rates of the travel arrangements. In response to travel request information received from a customer, the system automatically retrieves the 20 inventory and/or discount information from the database and determines a plurality of low-cost alternate travel arrangements that are available to the customer.

25 An important technical advantage of the present invention includes automatically determining a plurality of low-cost, available travel arrangements so that a travel agent does not have to spend time manually deriving the same.

30 Another important technical advantage of the present invention is that the opportunity for human error in identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements is substantially reduced or eliminated.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a better understanding of the present invention, reference may be made to the accompanying drawings, in which:

5 FIGURE 1 is a top level, block diagram of an automated system for identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements, in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention;

10 FIGURE 2 is a simplified diagram of a computer-based system that can be used to implement the automated system shown in FIGURE 1;

15 FIGURE 3 is a flow diagram that illustrates a method of operating the automated system to obtain inventory information from one or more computer reservation systems, in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention; and

20 FIGURE 4 is a flow diagram that illustrates a method of operating the automated system to identify a plurality of alternate low-cost travel arrangements, in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The preferred embodiment of the present invention and its advantages are best understood by referring to FIGURES 1-4 of the drawings, like numerals being used for like and corresponding parts of the various drawings.

In accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention, an automated system is preferably used to identify a plurality of alternate low-cost travel arrangements that may be offered or recommended to a customer in response to the customer's request. The automated system accesses one or more computer reservation systems in order to obtain inventory information regarding, for example, the availability and listed rates of various travel arrangements such as airline flights, hotel accommodations, and rental cars. The automated system also stores other information, such as, for example, travel discounts available to the employees of a particular business entity, which may be used to reduce the listed rates of the travel arrangements. When the automated system receives a specific travel request from a customer, it retrieves the above-mentioned information and identifies a plurality of alternate, available low-cost travel arrangements. Because the system is automated, a travel agent using the system does not need to manually identify the plurality of alternate, low-cost travel arrangements. Consequently, the travel agent will be able to work more productively. Furthermore, the automated system substantially reduces or eliminates the opportunity for human error.

The following describes primarily how the present invention can find a plurality of alternate, low-cost airline flights in response to a request for airline travel. However, it should be understood that the present invention is not limited to such an exemplary

embodiment. Thus, in an alternative embodiment, the present invention could be used to find a plurality of low-cost, alternate hotel accommodations or rental automobiles in response to a request for hotel or rental automobile service, respectively.

5 FIGURE 1 is a top level, block diagram that illustrates an automated system 10 for identifying a plurality of low-cost alternate travel arrangements, in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention. Referring to FIGURE 1, the automated system 10, hereinafter, referred to as the "system") 10 is shown. System 10 includes a processing network 12 connected to a database 14.

10 Processing network 12 may consist of a single 15 processor, or as described below with reference to FIGURE 2, a plurality of interconnected processors. Processing network 12 functions to run one or more software applications or modules, which can include a parameter generating engine module 16.

20 Parameter generating engine module 16 preferably includes two sub-modules or subroutines. The first sub-module of parameter generating engine module 16, which can be described as an inventory update sub-module, 25 preferably functions to direct system 10 to periodically access and retrieve inventory information from one or more computer reservation systems 24 used by the travel agency. The inventory update sub-module further functions to store the inventory information in database 14. The second sub-module of the parameter generating 30 engine module 16, which can be described as an analytical sub-module, operates independently of the inventory update module. The analytical sub-module functions to receive travel request information (which preferably specifies, for example, a city of departure, a city of destination, and approximate dates for travel) input into 35

system 10, and in response, identifies one or more alternate, low-cost travel arrangements that can be suggested to the customer. For example, in response to a travel request for an airline flight between Dallas and Chicago on April 25th, the analytical sub-module may identify the following possible flight alternatives: (1) a Continental Airlines flight departing on April 23rd costing \$180.00, (2) an American Airlines flight departing on April 24th costing \$220.00, (3) a Delta Airlines flight departing on April 25th costing \$350.00, and (4) an American Airlines flight departing on April 25th for \$370.00. Parameter generating engine module 16 may incorporate one or more genetic algorithms (discussed in more detail below) which function to define sets of parameters used in identifying alternate, low-cost travel arrangements. The operation of parameter generating engine module 16 is described below in more detail with reference to FIGURE 4.

Database 14 is preferably a relational database, which resides in a data storage medium (to be described in detail below). A business entity profile 20 is preferably maintained in the relational database 14 in system 10, for each business entity customer of the agency. In addition, the relational database preferably maintains an inventory data structure 18 and a travel agency profile 22.

Inventory data structure 18 includes inventory information obtained from one or more computer reservation systems 24 used by the travel agency. The customer reservation systems 24 provide travel service inventory information, such as airline flight, hotel, and rental automobile availability and rates. For airline flights, the inventory information may specify all flights between each particular city of departure and city of destination (otherwise known as a "city pair"),

the arrival and departure times of the flights, the airline carriers providing such flights, a description of each flight as either direct or non-direct, the breakdown of all non-direct flights into separate legs or 5 "segments," the identification of each segment of a flight as either domestic or international, the fare classes available on the flights, and pricing information (e.g., one-way ticketing, round-trip ticketing, city-to-city ticketing, or end-to-end ticketing) that can be used to 10 determine the rates of various flights.

Business entity profile 20 preferably contains, at a minimum, information that is used to determine any discounts available to a traveler as an employee of a particular business entity customer of the travel agency. 15 Thus, the business entity profile information may include any specialized codes used on each computer reservation system to discount the listed rate of travel arrangements for employees of the business entity. In addition, business entity profile 20 preferably contains 20 information regarding restrictions, such as, for example, fare class restrictions, that a business entity customer may impose upon its employees for work-related travel. Furthermore, business entity profile 20 can contain other 25 information that may reduce the cost of travel arrangements booked in response to a request, such as, for example, credit for any tickets returned by an employee of a particular business entity and/or free tickets earned by a business entity for frequent travel. The business entity profile 20 may also contain the names 30 of all people employed by each business entity customer of the travel agency.

Travel agency profile 22 preferably contains, at a minimum, information relating to the travel agency which can be used to identify alternate, low-cost travel 35 arrangements available for a particular request. Travel

agency profile information thus may include, for example, any discounts available to the travel agency which can be passed on to its customers (individual and/or business entity). This can include inventory for sale exclusively by the travel agency (e.g., space banking) and promotional discounts.

The plurality of computer reservation systems 24 used by the travel agency can be linked electronically with system 10. Each computer reservation system 24 may 10 be one or more commercially available computer reservation systems such as, for example, SABRE[®] owned by American Airlines, WORLD SPAN[®] owned by Pars Marketing, APOLLO[®] owned by Galileo International Partnership, or SYSTEM ONE[®] owned by Amadeus Global Travel Distribution. 15 Computer reservation systems 24 provide on-line travel service inventory information.

System 10 receives information relating to an incoming travel request from a customer. Typically, this travel request information specifies various parameters for travel, such as, for example, a city of departure and a city of destination (a city pair), and tentative times/dates of travel. In response to the travel request information, system 10 preferably identifies a plurality of low-cost alternate travel arrangements that are 20 available between the city pair specified by the customer. If additional parameters (e.g., a particular airline carrier, fare class, exact date of travel, etc.) are specified in the travel request information, the 25 number of alternate travel arrangements will usually be smaller.

FIGURE 2 is a simplified diagram of an exemplary computer-based system 26 that can be used to implement the automated system 10 for generating lowest-priced alternate travel itineraries shown in FIGURE 1. 30 Referring to the embodiment shown in FIGURE 2, the

computer-based system 26 can include a process server 28, a data storage device 30, a main frame computer 32, a local file server 34, and a plurality of work stations or desktop computers 36. Process server 28 preferably

5 functions to process travel-related data and command information. A SUN SOLARIS 2.3 system has been successfully utilized as a process server 28. Data storage device 30 can be a mass storage subsystem of tapes and/or disk drives, which is electronically coupled

10 to process server 28. In the preferred embodiment, a relational database resides in data storage device 30. Consequently, process server 28 may retrieve, process, and store the information in the relational database residing in data storage device 30.

15 The mainframe computer 32 may be linked electronically to process server 28 through a local or wide area network (LAN/WAN), for automated uploading and downloading of information therebetween. Any general purpose or medium-sized computer, which includes a

20 central processing unit (CPU) and suitable RAM, ROM, and I/O circuitry can be utilized for mainframe 32.

Local file server 34 may be linked electronically to process server 28 by the same or a different local or wide area network, or by telecommunication lines through a modem (not explicitly shown). Additionally, as shown

25 (for illustrative purposes only) in FIGURE 2, process server 28 can be linked by a "gateway" interface communications processor to local file server 34. Local file server 34 is preferably connected to a plurality of workstations or desktop computers 36. A user of system 10, such as a travel agent, may input and receive travel-and-customer related information (including the travel plan generated by system 10), and system information, respectively, through any of the workstations 36.

30 35 Preferably, each workstation 36 is a desktop computer

having at least a 486 processor or an operational equivalent. Systems programming for system 10 may be performed using a high level programming language, such as C".

5 The inventory data structure 18, the business entity profile 20, and the travel agency profile 22 (each of which contains information that can be used to identify low-cost alternative travel arrangements) are preferably stored in the relational database residing in data storage device 30. Process server 28, mainframe computer 32, local file server 34, and workstations 36 are preferably linked together. Consequently, each of these devices can directly access (e.g., store and retrieve) the low-cost travel arrangements information, if necessary.

10 FIGURES 3 and 4 are flow diagrams illustrating two separate methods that can be performed by automated system 10 during its operation, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention. Because system 10 preferably includes a plurality of processing devices (as shown in FIGURE 2), the two methods can be performed simultaneously, each by a separate processing device in the system. Alternatively, separate processing devices can be used to perform the two methods, but processing is not necessarily simultaneous. In yet another alternative, a single processor may alternately perform both methods.

15 FIGURE 3 is a flow diagram that illustrates a method 100 by which automated system 10 periodically obtains information from one or more computer reservation systems 24 and stores this information into database 14. Preferably, method 100 is automatically performed by system 10 with ut input from a system user, such as a travel agent.

At block 102, method 100 is initiated. More specifically, system 10 initiates the inventory update sub-module of parameter generating engine module 16, which controls system 10 throughout performance of method 100.

At block 104, system 10 determines whether a predetermined time has elapsed since inventory information was last obtained from computer reservation systems 24. Preferably, the predetermined time can be set by a user of the system according to the user's needs. For example, a travel agency which desires to have the most current inventory information available can set system 10 to access the computer reservation systems twice every hour. On the other hand, a travel agency which wishes to maintain a low hits-to-bookings ratio for each computer reservation system can set system 10 to access the computer reservation systems twice each day.

If the predetermined time has elapsed, at block 106, system 10, under the control of the inventory update sub-module, reads data from one or more computer reservation systems 24. If system 10 is connected to more than one computer reservation system 24, data can be read from each computer reservation system sequentially so that only one computer reservation system 24 is accessed at any given moment. Alternatively, system 10 can be configured to read data from a plurality of computer reservation systems simultaneously. The data read from the computer reservation systems 24 includes inventory information, such as, for example, all flights between each city pair, airline carriers providing the flights, fare classes available on the flights, a description of each flight as either direct or non-direct, the breakdown of all non-direct flights into separate segments, and the identification of each segment of a flight as either domestic or international.

At block 108, system 10 updates the inventory data structure 18 in database 14 using the information obtained from computer reservation systems 24.

At block 110, still under the control of the inventory update sub-module, system 10 trains the genetic algorithms using the updated inventory information. In general, a genetic algorithm is an algorithm capable of repeatedly sifting through a pool of candidate solutions to a particular problem, eliminating those solutions which fail, and adapting/modifying those solutions which succeed. Genetic algorithms are useful when the number of possible solutions is finite, but far too large to search exhaustively. Genetic algorithms require two components: (1) a representation of a possible solution, known as a chromosome; and (2) a fitness function which evaluates how well a proposed solution works (i.e., its "fitness"). In system 10, the genetic algorithms are trained by providing the most current pool of candidate solutions (i.e., the travel arrangements specified in the most recently obtained inventory information) to the genetic algorithms. System 10 then waits for the predetermined time to elapse again (block 104).

FIGURE 4 is a flow diagram that illustrates a method 200 by which the automated system 10 can identify a plurality of alternate, low-cost travel arrangements in response to a travel request submitted by a customer. During the performance of method 200, a user of system 10, such as a travel agent, can communicate with the system, preferably using a workstation 36. For example, an agent can input system commands and travel request information by pressing appropriate keys (typing) on a workstation keyboard, or "clicking" on an option in a WINDOWS-type display. In return, the workstation 36 can display a list of alternate, low-cost travel arrangements identified by system 10.

Referring to FIGURE 4, at block 202, method 200 is initiated. More specifically, system 10 initiates the analytical sub-module of parameter generating engine module 16, which controls system 10 throughout the 5 performance of method 200.

At block 204, system 10 receives travel request information, which may be input by a travel agent. The travel request information preferably specifies, at a minimum, a departure city and a destination city (a city 10 pair), and the tentative times and/or dates of travel. The travel request information may also specify additional parameters for travel, such as, for example, a particular airline carrier, fare class, or exact date of travel. Furthermore, if the customer is new to the 15 travel agency and is traveling for business, system 10 may prompt the agent to input information relating to the individual customer and the individual's employer, such as, for example, any frequent flyer program in which the individual participates and any discounts available to 20 employees of the business entity.

At blocks 206 and 208, in response to the travel request information, the analytical sub-module directs system 10 to retrieve business entity and travel agency profile information from, respectively, business entity profile 20 and travel agency profile 22. It should be 25 understood, however, that if the individual customer is not traveling for work-related matters, business entity information will not be retrieved and used in the subsequent analysis.

At block 210, system 10 inputs the city pair 30 information, and other parameters specified in the travel request, into the genetic algorithms. In response to the input information, the genetic algorithms produce a set of parameters which can be used to identify travel 35 arrangements that may be suitable for the customer. For

example, if the travel request specifies airline travel from Miami to Washington D.C. on Monday, August 5th and returning on Friday, August 9th, then the genetic algorithms may output, at a minimum, the following 5 parameters: (1) for travel from Miami to Washington D.C., an airline flight on any date from Friday, August 2nd to Wednesday, August 7th; and (2) for travel from Washington D.C. to Miami, an airline flight on any date from Wednesday, August 7th to Monday, August 12th. Any 10 additional parameters (e.g., a particular airline carrier, fare class, exact date of travel, etc.) which are specified in the travel request information will also be included in the parameters output by the genetic algorithms.

15 At block 212, using information retrieved from inventory data structure 18 in database 14, system 10 identifies all of the alternate travel arrangements that fall within the parameters output by the genetic algorithms. With further reference to the Miami- 20 Washington D.C. example described in the previous paragraph, system 10 may identify various possible travel arrangements, including the following: (1) a first American Airlines flight departing from Miami at 8:00 a.m. on Saturday, August 3rd, and a second American Airlines flight returning from Washington D.C. at 3:15 p.m. on Thursday, August 8th; (2) a first Delta Airlines flight departing from Miami at 10:00 p.m. on Friday, August 2nd, and a second Delta Airlines flight returning from Washington D.C. at 5:45 a.m. on Saturday, August 25 10th; (3) a first Delta Airlines departing from Miami at 4:30 p.m. on Saturday, August 3rd with a layover in Atlanta, and a second Delta Airlines flight returning from Washington D.C. at 6:50 p.m. on Wednesday, August 7th with a layover in Atlanta; (4) a first United Airlines flight departing from Miami at 10:30 a.m. on 30 35

Monday, August 5th, and a second United Airlines flight returning from Washington D.C. at 11:10 a.m. on Saturday, August 10th; (5) a first Continental Airlines flight departing from Miami at 2:45 p.m. on Sunday, August 4th 5 with a layover in Orlando, and a second Continental Airlines flight returning from Washington D.C. at 7:55 p.m. on Thursday, August 8th; and (6) a American Eagle flight departing from Miami at 8:35 a.m. on Sunday, August 4th, and a second American Eagle flight returning 10 from Washington D.C. at 4:50 p.m. on Sunday, August 10th. If additional parameters (e.g., a particular airline carrier, fare class, exact date of travel, etc.) are specified in the travel request information, the number of alternate travel arrangements will usually be smaller. 15 Thus, referring to the example directly above, if the travel request had specified that only Delta Airlines flights should be considered, then the possible travel arrangements listed above would be reduced by two-thirds (i.e., only travel arrangements (2) and (3) would have 20 been considered).

System 10 may repeat the steps described above with reference to blocks 210 and 212 several times in order to generate different sets of parameters and identify all travel arrangements falling within each set of 25 parameters.

At block 214, using the business entity profile information retrieved from database 14, system 10 identifies which of the travel arrangements (falling within the parameters generated by the genetic 30 algorithms) are provided by a preferred carrier of any business entity employing the individual traveler. For example, if a corporation specifies that its employees should travel only on American Airlines for work-related matters, system 10 eliminates from consideration all 35 flight arrangements provided by another airline. System

10 also identifies which travel arrangements are within the business entity's fare class restrictions. Thus, for example, if a company restricts airline travel to business or coach class seats, system 10 will not 5 consider any available first class seats when identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements.

At block 216, system 10 prices the remaining travel arrangements. More specifically, information relating to travel arrangements that fall within the applicable 10 business entity's guidelines is input into one or more pricing routines. Using the pricing information from the inventory data structure 18, the pricing routines price each of these travel arrangements by evaluating various aspects of each arrangement. For example, international 15 segments (i.e., flight legs originating in one country and arriving in another) are priced separately from domestic segments (i.e., flight legs originating and arriving in the same country). Furthermore, different ticketing options, such as, one-way ticketing, round-trip 20 ticketing, city-to-city ticketing, or end-to-end ticketing, are priced separately.

At block 218, the analytical sub-module directs system 10 to generate a table of the remaining travel arrangements according to the prices determined by the 25 pricing routine(s). The table may be preferably structured to include, at a minimum, the price of each travel arrangement, the airline carrier for each travel arrangement, and the times/dates of travel. Preferably, the travel arrangements are listed in order of ascending 30 price so that the lowest-cost travel arrangement appears at the beginning of the table and the highest-cost travel arrangement appears at the end of the table. The table can be stored in the relational database.

At block 220, system 10 outputs the table of 35 alternate low-cost travel arrangements to a user of the

system, for example, by displaying the table on a workstation 36. At block 222, method 200 is terminated. Once the travel agent has informed the customer making the request about the available low-cost alternate travel 5 arrangements and the customer has selected a particular travel arrangement, system 10 may also be used to automatically make the reservations by accessing an appropriate computer reservation system 24.

In the manner described above, system 10 10 automatically identifies alternate, low-cost travel arrangements in response to a travel request. Thus, a travel agent is not required to manually determine the available low-cost arrangements, thereby increasing the agent's productivity. Furthermore, human error is 15 substantially reduced or eliminated from the process.

Although the present invention and its advantages have been described in detail, it should be understood that various changes, substitutions, and alterations can be made therein without departing from the spirit and 20 scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. An automated system for identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements, comprising:

5 a database operable to store business entity profile information, travel agency profile information, and computer reservation system inventory information; and a processor operable to:

retrieve inventory information from a plurality of computer reservation systems;

10 store said inventory information in said database; in response to receipt of travel request information, access said database to retrieve travel agency profile information and computer reservation system inventory information; and

15 identify at least one low-cost travel arrangement.

2. The system of Claim 1, wherein said processor is further operable to access said computer reservation systems at a predetermined interval.

20

3. The system of Claim 1, wherein said database comprises a relational database.

25

4. The system of Claim 1, wherein said processor comprises a file server.

5. The system of Claim 1, wherein said processor is further operable to generate at least one set of travel parameters.

30

6. The system of Claim 1, wherein said processor is further operable to retrieve business entity profile information in response to receipt of travel request information.

35

7. The system of Claim 1, wherein said process is further operable to automatically book travel reservations on said computer reservation systems.

8. An automated system for identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements, comprising:

a database operable to store business entity profile information, travel agency profile information, and computer reservation system inventory information; and
5 a processing network connected to the database and operable to:

access a plurality of computer reservation systems and retrieve computer reservation system inventory information;

10 store said retrieved computer reservation inventory information in said database;

receive travel request information specifying a travel itinerary;

15 access said database to retrieve travel agency profile information and computer reservation system inventory information in response to said received travel request information;

20 generate at least one set of travel parameters in response to said received travel request information; and

identify at least one alternate low-cost travel arrangement.

9. The system of Claim 8, wherein said database is
25 a relational database.

10. The system of Claim 8, wherein said processor is further operable to access the computer reservation systems at a predetermined interval.

30 11. The system of Claim 8, wherein said processor is further operable to access said database to retrieve business entity profile information in response to said received travel request information.

12. The system of Claim 8, wherein said processor is further operable to automatically book travel reservations on at least one of said computer reservation systems.

13. A method for automatically identifying alternate low-cost travel arrangements, comprising:
5 accessing a plurality of computer reservation systems and retrieving computer reservation system inventory information;
10 generating business entity profile information and travel agency profile information;
15 storing said retrieved computer reservation system inventory information and said generated business entity profile and travel agency profile information in a database;
20 receiving travel request information;
25 retrieving from said database said computer reservation system inventory information and said travel agency profile information in response to said received travel request information; and
 identifying at least one alternate low-cost travel arrangement.

14. The method of Claim 13, wherein said accessing step further comprises the step of accessing the computer reservation system at a predetermined interval.

15. The method of Claim 13, further comprising the step of generating at least one set of travel parameters in response to said received travel request information.

16. The method of Claim 13, wherein said identifying step further comprises the step of identifying which of a plurality of alternate travel arrangements is within a particular business entity's 5 guidelines.

17. The method of Claim 13, wherein said identifying step further comprises the step of pricing alternate travel itineraries.

10

18. The method of Claim 13, further comprising the step of retrieving from said database said business entity profile information in response to said received travel request information.

15

19. The method of Claim 13, further comprising the step of booking a travel reservation on said computer reservation systems.

20

20. The method of Claim 13, further comprising the step of displaying said alternate low-cost travel arrangement to a user.



1/2
FIG. 1

FIG. 2



2/2

FIG. 3





FIG. 4



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US 97/02848

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 G06F17/60

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 93 10502 A (GARBACK BRENT J) 27 May 1993	1,4-7
Y	see abstract; claims 1-4 ---	2,3,8-20
Y	US 5 253 166 A (DETTELBACH SUSAN S ET AL) 12 October 1993 see abstract; claims 1-7 ---	2,3,8-20
A	EP 0 690 398 A (CASIO COMPUTER CO LTD) 3 January 1996 see abstract ---	1,8,13
A	US 5 021 953 A (WEBBER DAVID W ET AL) 4 June 1991 see abstract -----	1,8,13

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- 'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- 'X' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- 'Y' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- 'A' document member of the same patent family

1

Date of the actual completion of the international search

5 June 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

12.06.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Suendermann, R

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US 97/02848

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9310502 A	27-05-93	US 5237499 A AU 3066292 A CA 2123230 A	17-08-93 15-06-93 27-05-93
US 5253166 A	12-10-93	NONE	
EP 0690398 A	03-01-96	JP 8016619 A CN 1121217 A	19-01-96 24-04-96
US 5021953 A	04-06-91	US 5331546 A	19-07-94

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)